

1
2
3
4
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

7 WILLIE RAY BEASLEY,

8 Petitioner, No. CIV S-05-0851 DFL PAN P

9 vs.

10 CLAUDE E. FINN, et al.,

11 Respondents. ORDER

12 _____ /

13 Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no
14 absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See Nevius v. Sumner, 105 F.3d
15 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at
16 any stage of the case “if the interests of justice so require.” See Rule 8(c), Fed. R. Governing
17 § 2254 Cases. In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of justice would be
18 served by the appointment of counsel at the present time.

19 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner’s July 6, 2006 motion for
20 appointment of counsel is denied without prejudice to a renewal of the motion at a later stage of
21 the proceedings.

22 DATED: July 27, 2006.

23 
24 John F. Mendez
25 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26